Problem 1.7 on Page 260

P. Reany

October 9, 2021

1 Problems

On page 260 of NFCM [1], we find Problem (1.7): Find the inverse the linear transformation

$$g\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{x}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = i\mathbf{b}$ is, of course, a bivector.

2 Solution

In Problem (1.6), we could work with dot products and easily solve for \mathbf{x} as a function of \mathbf{y} . But this time, maybe it's better to just get rid of the dot product right away.

Let's begin by restating the problem in the form:

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B} \,. \tag{2}$$

But

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}) \,. \tag{3}$$

Substituting this into (2), we get

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}). \tag{4}$$

Now we have a choice between solving for \mathbf{x} 'on the left' or 'on the right' — but this is just an intermediate step. Let's begin by solving for \mathbf{x} on the right:

$$(2\alpha - \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}.$$
 (5)

Next, we multiply through by \mathbf{B} on the right:

$$(2\alpha - \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B} = 2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{B} + |\mathbf{B}|^2\mathbf{x}.$$
(6)

But we can solve (5) for \mathbf{xB} and use it in this last equation to get

$$(2\alpha - \mathbf{B})[2\mathbf{y} - (2\alpha - \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x}] = 2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{B} + |\mathbf{B}|^2\mathbf{x}.$$
(7)

Next, we again solve for ${\bf x}$ on the right:

$$[(2\alpha - \mathbf{B})^2 + |\mathbf{B}|^2]\mathbf{x} = 2(2\alpha - \mathbf{B})\mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{B}.$$
(8)

We're almost there; simplifying, gives us:

$$4\alpha(\alpha - \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x} = 4(\alpha \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{B})\mathbf{y}.$$
(9)

Finally,

$$g^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} = (\alpha - \mathbf{B})^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{B}].$$
(10)

Okay, my answer is not the book's answer, but, on swapping \mathbf{x} for \mathbf{y} , it's close.

References

[1] D. Hestenes, New Foundations for Classical Mechanics, 2nd Ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.