

# Lagrange's Theorem

P. Reany

March 16, 2024

## Abstract

Lagrange's Theorem states that the order of a subgroup of a finite group must divide the order of the group. The proof of this theorem relies heavily on the fact that every element of a group has an inverse.

## 1 Theorem Statement

Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $|G|$  its order. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  with  $|H|$  its order. Then

$$|H| \mid |G|. \quad (1)$$

## 2 Proof

For specificity, let's assume that  $|H| = m$ , then, with the group identity element represented as  $e$ , we have that

$$H = \{e, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{m-1}\}. \quad (2)$$

Next, let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  that is not in  $H$ . Then

$$gH = g\{e, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{m-1}\} \equiv \{g, gh_1, gh_2, \dots, gh_{m-1}\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $gH$  is a set but not a group in itself. Why? (Hint: it has no identity element.) Also, note that for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g \in gH$ .

Claim: The set  $gH$  has no elements in common with  $H$ . Proof by contradiction. Assume that the two sets do have a common element. Say that  $gh_i$  in  $gH$  is equal to some element in  $H$ , namely  $h_j$ . Then

$$gh_i = h_j. \quad (4)$$

Multiplying on the right by  $h_i^{-1}$  we get

$$g = h_j h_i^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

But  $h_j h_i^{-1}$  is an element of  $H$ , implying that  $g \in H$ , which we had assumed is not true. Contradiction. Hence, the sets  $gH$  and  $H$  have no common elements.

Definition: The set  $gH$  is said to be a *left coset* of  $H$ .

Now choose another element of  $G$ , namely  $g'$ , which is not in the set  $gH \cup H$ . Then we need to show that the set  $g'H$  has no common element with  $gH \cup H$ . How do we know this to be true? By the previous argument, we know that  $g'H$  has no common element with  $H$ . But does it have a common element with  $gH$ ? Let's assume that it does. Then for some  $g'h_i \in g'H$  and for some  $gh_j \in gH$

$$g'h_i = gh_j. \quad (6)$$

Which leads to

$$g' = gh_j h_i^{-1} \in gH, \quad (7)$$

which is a contradiction because we had assumed that  $g' \notin gH$ .

Obviously, every element of  $G$ , say  $g'$ , will be in exactly one of the cosets of  $H$ , namely  $g'H$ . But we already know that  $g' \in g'H$ . Then under what condition are these two cosets equal? Namely,

$$g'H = gH, \quad (8)$$

where we are treating  $g'H$  and  $gH$  as sets? For this to be true, then there must exist some elements  $h_i$  and  $h_j$  such that

$$g'h_i = gh_j, \quad (9)$$

from which we get that

$$g^{-1}g' = h_j' h_i^{-1} \in H. \quad (10)$$

Thus  $g'H$  and  $gH$  are the same set if and only if  $g$  and  $g'$  quotient by an element of  $H$ .

Clearly, all the elements of  $G$  are placed in exactly one coset of  $H$ . And every coset has the same size, namely  $m$ . Therefore

$$|G| = km, \quad (11)$$

for some positive integer  $k$ . Just as clearly,  $k = |G|/m$ .

Therefore, we have proved that for  $G$  a finite group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , then the order of  $H$  divides the order of  $G$ .

By the way, the number  $k$  is the number of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ , which is sometimes represented by the symbol  $[G, H]$  or  $|G, H|$ , and is called the *index* of  $H$  in  $G$ . Sometimes we see the relation

$$[G, H] = \frac{|G|}{|H|}. \quad (12)$$