

# Math Diversion Problem 259

P. Reany

January 26, 2025

A new scientific truth does not triumph by convincing its  
opponents and making them see the light, but rather  
because its opponents die and a new generation  
grows up that is familiar with it.

— Max Planck

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_pv3HYN0nFY](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_pv3HYN0nFY)

Title: Solving An Exponential Equation With A Parameter

Presenter: SyberMath

## 1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$x^{(\ln x)/x} = a, \tag{1}$$

find the values of  $x$ .

## 2 The Preparation

I intend to use the Lambert  $W$  function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \tag{2}$$

then

$$z = W(B), \tag{3}$$

where there are domain constraints on  $B$  that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need is the following: If

$$y \ln y = B, \tag{4}$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \tag{5}$$

### 3 The Solution

Now, I think it best if we start by taking the logarithm

$$\frac{\ln x}{x} \ln x = \ln a + 2\pi in \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6)$$

See the footnote to explain this result.<sup>1</sup> Next, we take the square root of both sides.

$$\frac{\ln x}{\sqrt{x}} = \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7)$$

So, to use the above lemma, we configure it appropriately.

$$x^{-1/2} \ln x = \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (8)$$

Then multiply through by  $-1/2$ :

$$-1/2 x^{-1/2} \ln x = x^{-1/2} \ln x^{-1/2} = -(1/2) \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (9)$$

So, now we're in a position to use the lemma:

$$\ln x^{-1/2} = W(-(1/2) \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in}) \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (10)$$

Then

$$x^{-1/2} = e^{W(-(1/2) \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in})} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (11)$$

And finally,

$$x = e^{-2W(-(1/2) \sqrt{\ln a + 2\pi in})} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (12)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The reason we need to include the term  $2\pi in$  on the RHS is seen by taking the RHS as the exponent of  $e$ . Doing so, we see that  $e^{\pi/2 + 2\pi in} = e^{\pi/2} e^{2\pi in} = e^{\pi/2}$ , thus exactly recovering the RHS of the Given relation.