

Math Diversion Problem 373

P. Reany

January 31, 2025

It was an act of desperation. For six years I had struggled with
the blackbody theory. I knew the problem was fundamental
and I knew the answer. I had to find a theoretical
explanation at any cost, except for the
inviolability of the two laws of
thermodynamics.
— Max Planck

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g8KWDPXzCg>

Title: An Interesting Exponential Equation

Presenter: SyberMath

1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$2x^{2x} = 1, \quad (1)$$

find the real values of x .

2 The Preparation

I intend to use the Lambert W function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \quad (2)$$

$$z = W(B), \quad (3)$$

where there are domain constraints on B that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

I'll need the following lemma:

$$W(y \ln y) = \ln y, \quad (4)$$

for the principal value of W and $y \ln y \geq -1/e$.

3 The Solution

We begin by dividing through by 2 and then taking the squareroot across the equation:

$$x^x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

where we threw out the negative root. Next, we take the natural logarithm across this equation.

$$x \ln x = \ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2}. \quad (6)$$

On taking the Lambert W function across this equation and using the lemma above, we get

$$\ln x = \ln \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7)$$

From this we conclude that

$$x = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

WolframAlpha also gives as a real solution

$$x = e^{W_{-1}(-\ln(2)/2)}, \quad (9)$$

which (seems) to come from that negative root we threw out in (5).