

Math Diversion Problem 607

P. Reany

May 25, 2025

There is much you have to learn. You must explore; you
must reach out. Go...and give thought to the
the mysteries of the universe.
— The Galaxy Being
(An early proponent of
Life-Long Learning)

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: The Ether of Mathematical Ideas
Title: Contrived Lambert W Function Problem #1
Presenter: Patrick

1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$y = xe^x, \tag{1}$$

find $y' = dy/dx$.

Note: I have been patiently waiting for an opportunity to use the derivative of the Lambert W function in a real problem, but so far I haven't succeeded. So, I'm going to force it into this problem, just for the experience of using it. The experience I get here may come in handy later on.

2 The Preparation

Using the product rule on (1), we get

$$y' = e^x(x + 1), \tag{2}$$

so now we know what we're shooting for.

I intend to use the Lambert W function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \tag{3}$$

then

$$z = W(B), \tag{4}$$

where there are domain constraints on B that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

Note:

$$W_0(-e^{-1}) = -1.$$

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert W function is the following:
If

$$y \ln y = B, \tag{5}$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \tag{6}$$

The following is the 'Lambert W function base s ¹, or W_s , where s is a positive real number. Let's begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \tag{7}$$

which looks very similar to (3). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \tag{8}$$

But when $s = e$, we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W(A), \tag{9}$$

which is the usual Lambert W function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting $s^x = e^y$ and proceeding from there.)

If s is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the n -series, as such $W_{(s)}$.

By the way, the derivative of $W(z)$ by z is:

$$\frac{dW(z)}{dz} = \frac{W(z)}{z(1+W(z))}, \tag{10}$$

where $z \neq 0$ and $z \neq -1/e$.

¹This notation I invented myself.

3 The Solution

On taking the Lambert W function across (1), we get

$$W(y) = x. \tag{11}$$

Next, we differentiate by x , getting:

$$\frac{dW(y)}{dx} = \frac{dW(y)}{dy} y' = 1. \tag{12}$$

Hence

$$y' = \frac{1}{\frac{dW(y)}{dy}} \tag{13a}$$

$$= \frac{y(1 + W(y))}{W(y)} \tag{13b}$$

$$= y[(W(y))^{-1} + 1] \tag{13c}$$

$$= x e^x (x^{-1} + 1) \tag{13d}$$

$$= e^x (x + 1), \tag{13e}$$

which is what we needed to show.