

# Math Diversion Problem 624

P. Reany

June 2, 2025

No mystery is closed to an open mind.

— Tim White  
(Sightings TV show)

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qP0mghCvG64>

Title: Italy 1 can you solve??

Presenter: Math Master TV

## 1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$x^{27} = 27x^2, \quad (1)$$

find the real values of  $x$ .

## 2 The Preparation

I intend to use the Lambert  $W$  function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \quad (2)$$

then

$$z = W(B), \quad (3)$$

where there are domain constraints on  $B$  that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert  $W$  function is the following:

If

$$y \ln y = B, \quad (4)$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \quad (5)$$

The following is the ‘Lambert  $W$  function base  $s$ ’, or  $W_s$ , where  $s$  is a positive real number. Let’s begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \tag{6}$$

which looks very similar to (2). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \tag{7}$$

But when  $s = e$ , we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W(A), \tag{8}$$

which is the usual Lambert  $W$  function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting  $s^x = e^y$  and proceeding from there.)

If  $s$  is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the  $n$ -series, as such  $W_{(s)}$ .

One last result we’ll need is

$$\beta = W_n(\beta)e^{W_n(\beta)}. \tag{9}$$

### 3 The Solution

Let’s begin with an  $\alpha$  substitution:

$$x = 27^\alpha. \tag{10}$$

Substituting this into (1), we get

$$27^{27^\alpha} = 27^{27^{2\alpha}}. \tag{11}$$

After equating exponents, we have that

$$27\alpha = 27^{2\alpha}. \tag{12}$$

Recently, we continued by applying a table to various values of  $\alpha$ . But this time, we’ll try the Lambert  $W$  function. But before we can do that, we need to massage our equation into some appropriate form. So, multiply through by  $\frac{27^{-2\alpha}}{27}$ , to get

$$\alpha 27^{-2\alpha} = 27^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

Next, we multiply through by  $-2$ :

$$-2\alpha 27^{-2\alpha} = -2 \cdot 27^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This notation I invented myself.

Next, we take the Lambert  $W$  function, base 27, to get

$$-2\alpha = W_{(27)}(-2 \cdot 27^{-1}) \quad (15a)$$

$$= \frac{W_n(-2 \cdot 27^{-1} \ln 27)}{\ln 27}. \quad (15b)$$

From the previous paper on this (two papers ago) we already know that one of the solutions for  $\alpha$  is  $1/3$ , but it sure doesn't look very close to that, does it? Well, let's first restrict our attention to the principal value of  $W$ , because that should give us a real number solution. Then,

$$-2\alpha_0 = \frac{W_0(-2 \cdot 27^{-1} \ln 27)}{\ln 27} = \frac{W_0(-2 \cdot 27^{-1} \ln 27)}{3 \ln 3}. \quad (16)$$

Obviously, we need to extricate ourselves from the prison of the Lambert  $W = W_0$  function. So, let's get to it.

$$-2\alpha_0 = \frac{W\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{27} \ln \frac{1}{27}\right)}{3 \ln 3} \quad (17a)$$

$$= \frac{W\left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \ln \frac{1}{27}\right)}{3 \ln 3} \quad (17b)$$

$$= \frac{W\left(\frac{1}{9} \ln \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^{2/3}\right)}{3 \ln 3} \quad (17c)$$

$$= \frac{W\left(\frac{1}{9} \ln \frac{1}{9}\right)}{3 \ln 3} \quad (17d)$$

$$= \frac{\ln \frac{1}{9}}{3 \ln 3} = \frac{-2 \ln 3}{3 \ln 3} = -\frac{2}{3}. \quad (17e)$$

And therefore, one of the real solutions is indeed  $\alpha = 1/3$ . And the corresponding real value for  $x$  is three.

If anyone is interested, I suppose one could use WolframAlpha to get the other real value for  $x$  by first getting it for  $\alpha$  by solving this equation:

$$-2\alpha_{-1} = \frac{W_{-1}\left(\frac{1}{9} \ln \frac{1}{9}\right)}{3 \ln 3}. \quad (18)$$