

# Math Diversion Problem 645

P. Reany

June 9, 2025

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gkg9Jd4k6SI>

Title: Harvard entrance exam question

Presenter: Math Beast

## 1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$\phi = i^{i^{i^{\dots}}}, \quad (1)$$

find a closed form for  $\phi$ .

Hmm. This looks like a job for the Lambert  $W$  function.

## 2 The Preparation

I intend to use the Lambert  $W$  function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \quad (2)$$

then

$$z = W(B), \quad (3)$$

where there are domain constraints on  $B$  that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert  $W$  function is the following:

If

$$y \ln y = B, \quad (4)$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \quad (5)$$

The following is the ‘Lambert  $W$  function base  $s^1$ , or  $W_s$ , where  $s$  is a positive real number. Let’s begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \tag{6}$$

which looks very similar to (2). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \tag{7}$$

But when  $s = e$ , we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W(A), \tag{8}$$

which is the usual Lambert  $W$  function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting  $s^x = e^y$  and proceeding from there.)

If  $s$  is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the  $n$ -series, as such  $W_{(s)}$ .

One last result we’ll need is

$$\gamma = W_n(\gamma)e^{W_n(\gamma)}. \tag{9}$$

### 3 The Solution

We need to take advantage of the expression’s self-similarity, to get

$$\phi = i^\phi. \tag{10}$$

On multiplying through by  $i^{-\phi}$ , we have that

$$\phi i^{-\phi} = 1. \tag{11}$$

Multiplying through by  $-1$ , gives us

$$-\phi i^{-\phi} = -1. \tag{12}$$

On taking the Lambert  $W$  function across this, we get

$$-\phi = W_{(i)}(-1) = \frac{W_n(-1 \cdot \ln i)}{\ln i} = \frac{W_n(-1 \cdot \ln e^{i\pi/2})}{\ln e^{i\pi/2}} = \frac{W_n(-i\pi/2)}{i\pi/2}. \tag{13}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi = \frac{2i}{\pi} W_n(-i\pi/2), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{14}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This notation I invented myself.