

Math Diversion 651

P. Reany

June 11, 2025

First things first...But not necessarily in that order.

— Doctor Who

1 The Problem: Some Vector Calculus Identities, 5

We will be using geometric calculus to establish the following vector calculus identities:

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = -\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}). \quad (2)$$

2 Preparation

Some of the proofs might use the identity

$$\nabla \wedge \nabla = 0, \quad (3)$$

which we will not prove here.

The geometric product of two vectors \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} is

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B}. \quad (4)$$

But isn't that adding apples and oranges? Yes, but that's the magic of geometric algebra. Anyway, it has its precedence: In complex numbers we're allowed to add real and imaginary numbers together.

Anyway, the following identity comes directly from (4) by replacing \mathbf{A} by ∇ and \mathbf{B} by \mathbf{A} :

$$\nabla \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}. \quad (5)$$

The Einstein Summation Rule: In an algebraic expression with indices, any time an index is repeated, it will be summed on, unless stated otherwise. For example

$$A_i B_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i B_i = A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 + A_3 B_3. \quad (6)$$

What a handy rule for making things more compact!

Typically, I will use $\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3\}$ as a basis for Euclidean 3-space. Then, vector \mathbf{A} can be expanded thusly,

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = A_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = A_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + A_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + A_3 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3. \quad (7)$$

The vector derivative ∇ can be similarly expanded:

$$\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \partial_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + \partial_3 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\partial_i = \partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (9)$$

with the independence rule:

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

which is the Kronecker delta.

Also, we have that

$$\partial_i (AB) = (\partial_i A)B = A(\partial_i B) = A\partial_i B, \quad (11)$$

which is the Product Rule for differentiation.

Finally, we have that

$$\partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \partial_i, \quad (12)$$

as the $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$'s are constant vectors.

Next, the cross product. The Gibbs' vector cross product is a creature of Euclidean 3-space. Just the same, we need to know how it relates to the wedge product. For vectors \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} ,

$$\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} = i\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (13)$$

The symbol i in an expression is typically the unit pseudoscalar of Euclidean 3-space,

$$i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3. \quad (14)$$

3 The Proof of Identity (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) &= \langle -i\mathbf{A} \wedge (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_1 \\
&= -i \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_2 \\
&= -i \langle \mathbf{A} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_2 \\
&= -\langle \mathbf{A} (i\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_1 \\
&= -\mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}) \\
&= -\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

4 The Proof of Identity (2)

First, we take the last identity and write

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = -\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}}. \tag{16}$$

And then we interchange vectors \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} :

$$\mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}}. \tag{17}$$

Now, we add the last two equations, to get

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \dot{\nabla} \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}}. \tag{18}$$

The RHS of this can be simplified, given that $\dot{\nabla} \mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} = \dot{\nabla} \dot{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ and that

$$\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \dot{\nabla}(\dot{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \dot{\nabla}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}}). \tag{19}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}). \tag{20}$$

On solving for $\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$, we have

$$\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}). \tag{21}$$