

# Math Diversion 655

P. Reany

June 12, 2025

In every area of mathematics there are one or  
two really key ideas that capture all  
the important ideas.  
— Richard Bocherds

## 1 The Problem: Some Vector Calculus Identity, 6

We will be using geometric calculus to establish the following vector calculus identity:

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{B} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}. \quad (1)$$

## 2 Preparation

Some of the proofs might use the identity

$$\nabla \wedge \nabla = 0, \quad (2)$$

which we will not prove here.

The geometric product of two vectors  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  is

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B}. \quad (3)$$

But isn't that adding apples and oranges? Yes, but that's the magic of geometric algebra. Anyway, it has its precedence: In complex numbers we're allowed to add real and imaginary numbers together.

Anyway, the following identity comes directly from (3) by replacing  $\mathbf{A}$  by  $\nabla$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  by  $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$\nabla \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}. \quad (4)$$

**The Einstein Summation Rule:** In an algebraic expression with indices, any time an index is repeated, it will be summed on, unless stated otherwise.

For example

$$A_i B_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i B_i = A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 + A_3 B_3. \quad (5)$$

What a handy rule for making things more compact!

Typically, I will use  $\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3\}$  as a basis for Euclidean 3-space. Then, vector  $\mathbf{A}$  can be expanded thusly,

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = A_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = A_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + A_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + A_3 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3. \quad (6)$$

The vector derivative  $\nabla$  can be similarly expanded:

$$\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \partial_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + \partial_3 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\partial_i = \partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (8)$$

with the independence rule:

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

which is the Kronecker delta.

Also, we have that

$$\partial_i (AB) = (\partial_i A)B = A(\partial_i B) = A \partial_i B, \quad (10)$$

which is the Product Rule for differentiation.

Finally, we have that

$$\partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \partial_i, \quad (11)$$

as the  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ 's are constant vectors.

Next, the cross product. The Gibbs' vector cross product is a creature of Euclidean 3-space. Just the same, we need to know how it relates to the wedge product. For vectors  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ ,

$$\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} = i \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (12)$$

The symbol  $i$  in an expression is typically the unit pseudoscalar of Euclidean 3-space,

$$i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3. \quad (13)$$

### 3 The Proof of Identity (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) &= \langle -i\nabla \wedge (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_1 \\
&= -i \langle \nabla \wedge (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_2 \\
&= -i \langle \nabla (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_2 \\
&= -\langle \nabla (i\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \rangle_1 \\
&= -\langle \nabla (\mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B}) \rangle_1 \\
&= -\dot{\nabla} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{A}} \wedge \dot{\mathbf{B}}) \\
&= -(\dot{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}})\dot{\mathbf{B}} + (\dot{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}})\dot{\mathbf{A}} \\
&= -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})\mathbf{B} - (\dot{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A})\dot{\mathbf{B}} + (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B})\mathbf{A} + (\dot{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B})\dot{\mathbf{A}} \\
&= -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B})\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

On re-ordering this, we get

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{B} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}. \tag{15}$$