

Math Diversion Problem 686

P. Reany

June 29, 2025

The ignorant always loud in argument.

— Charlie Chan

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2JJ0d4ZgeEU>

Title: Can You Dare To Touch This?

Presenter: Brain Station Advanced

1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$x + y = 6, \tag{1}$$

find the real values for x that maximize

$$\phi = x^y. \tag{2}$$

Constraint: $x, y > 0$.

2 The Preparation

I intend to use the Lambert W function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \tag{3}$$

then

$$z = W(B), \tag{4}$$

where there are domain constraints on B that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert W function is the following: If

$$y \ln y = B, \tag{5}$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \tag{6}$$

The following is the ‘Lambert W function base s ’¹, or W_s , where s is a positive real number. Let’s begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \tag{7}$$

which looks very similar to (3). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \tag{8}$$

But when $s = e$, we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W(A), \tag{9}$$

which is the usual Lambert W function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting $s^x = e^y$ and proceeding from there.)

If s is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the n -series, as such $W_{(s)}$.

One last result we’ll need is

$$\gamma = W_n(\gamma)e^{W_n(\gamma)}. \tag{10}$$

3 The Solution

Using (1) in (2), we get

$$\phi(x) = x^{6-x}. \tag{11}$$

The standard way to proceed is to take the logarithm derivative of this last equation. So, we begin by taking the natural logarithm.

$$\ln \phi = (6 - x) \ln x. \tag{12}$$

Next, we differentiate across:

$$\frac{\phi'}{\phi} = -\ln x + \frac{(6 - x)}{x}. \tag{13}$$

Now, to find our maximum, we set

$$\phi' = 0. \tag{14}$$

But this mean that

$$0 = -\ln x + \frac{(6 - x)}{x}. \tag{15}$$

On rearranging, we have that

$$x \ln x = 6 - x. \tag{16}$$

¹This notation I invented myself.

Now, let $x = e^z$:

$$ze^z = 6 - e^z, \quad (17)$$

or

$$(z + 1)e^z = 6. \quad (18)$$

Next, let $h = z + 1$:

$$he^{h-1} = 6, \quad (19)$$

or

$$he^h = 6e. \quad (20)$$

Now, we take the Lambert W function across this equation, to get

$$h = W(6e). \quad (21)$$

Then, for z :

$$z = W(6e) - 1. \quad (22)$$

And, finally, for x :

$$x = e^{W(6e)-1}. \quad (23)$$