

Math Diversion Problem 780

P. Reany

August 31, 2025

He who would ride two camels, finds he can ride neither.
— From an old movie

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NGYX6gt0bec>
Title: Introduction to conformal field theory, Lecture 1
Part 2
Presenter: Tobias Osborne
(Read-along notes and a problem to solve.)

1 Problem

Given the relation (in a conformal field theory)

$$\partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu = \frac{2}{d} (\partial \cdot \epsilon) \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

show that

$$(\eta_{\mu\nu} \square + (d-2) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) (\partial \cdot \epsilon) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\square \equiv \partial^\rho \partial_\rho. \quad (3)$$

2 Set up for conformal field theory in d dimensions

First, we define a manifold to work on. Let our manifold M be $\mathbb{R}^{(p,q)}$, where p is the number of basis vectors that square positive, and q is the number of basis vectors that square negative. [$p + q = d$]

Now for the metric:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

Note

$$g^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_\mu^\mu = \delta_\mu^\mu = d. \quad (5)$$

Note: See Problem 775 for additional set-up information.

3 Solution

Note: We assume the commutivity of partial derivatives!

Let's rewrite (1) to

$$\partial_\mu \epsilon_\rho + \partial_\rho \epsilon_\mu = \frac{2}{d}(\partial \cdot \epsilon)\eta_{\mu\rho}. \quad (6)$$

Next, we multiply through both sides by ∂^ρ and sum:

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\rho \epsilon_\rho + \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \epsilon_\mu = \frac{2}{d}\eta_{\mu\rho} \partial^\rho (\partial \cdot \epsilon), \quad (7)$$

which simplifies to

$$\partial_\mu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square \epsilon_\mu = \frac{2}{d} \partial_\mu (\partial \cdot \epsilon), \quad (8)$$

which can be rearranged to

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_\mu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square \epsilon_\mu = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now, we multiply through both sides by ∂_ν :

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu = 0. \quad (10)$$

That looks hopeful. Now, let's write this last equation with μ and ν interchanged:

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_\nu \partial_\mu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu = 0. \quad (11)$$

On adding these last two equations together, we have that

$$2\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square (\partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, drawing on (1) again, we get

$$2\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square \left(\frac{2}{d}(\partial \cdot \epsilon)\eta_{\mu\nu}\right) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Multiplying through by $d/2$ gives us

$$(d-2)\partial_\mu \partial_\nu (\partial \cdot \epsilon) + \square (\partial \cdot \epsilon)\eta_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (14)$$

which simplifies down to

$$(\eta_{\mu\nu}\square + (d-2)\partial_\mu \partial_\nu)(\partial \cdot \epsilon) = 0. \quad (15)$$

So, what was hard for me? 1) It took me a while to realize that I should introduce the third index ρ to get to (7). 2) It also took me a while to realize that I should double dip into (1), so that's why I interchanged μ and ν to get (11).