

Math Diversion Problem 825

P. Reany

October 6, 2025

The truth is only effective against an
honest and sound mind.
— The Author

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I063-0rNN1Y>
Title: A Viewer Suggested Equation | Problem 293
Presenter: aplusbi

1 Problem

Given the relation

$$z^{\frac{1}{z}} = i, \quad (1)$$

solve for z .

Note: WolframAlpha uses the Lambert W_n function but provides solutions only for values $n = -1, 0, 1$.

2 Solution

Rewrite (1) into the form

$$z^{z^{-1}} = i = e^{i\pi/2} e^{2i\pi m}, \quad (2)$$

where $i = e^{i\pi/2}$ and $e^{2i\pi m} = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then take the natural logarithm, to get

$$z^{-1} \ln z = i\pi/2 + 2i\pi m = i\pi(\frac{1}{2} + 2m). \quad (3)$$

Next, multiply through by -1 and use the rule $-\ln z = \ln z^{-1}$:

$$z^{-1} \ln z^{-1} = -i\pi(\frac{1}{2} + 2m). \quad (4)$$

Now take the Lambert W function across this to get

$$\ln z^{-1} = W_n(-i\pi(\frac{1}{2} + 2m)). \quad (5)$$

Then raise e to the power of this last equation, to get

$$z^{-1} = e^{W_n(-i\pi(\frac{1}{2}+2m))}. \quad (6)$$

Lastly, invert:

$$z = e^{-W_n(-i\pi(\frac{1}{2}+2m))}. \quad (7)$$

And as I already said, WolframAlpha provides solutions only for values $n = -1, 0, 1$.

3 Appendix: Lambert W Function

Warning: The Lambert W function can be tricky because it's subtle. Please do not attempt to land any craft on Mars based on my calculations using the Lambert W function. We could both end up with Martian egg on our faces.

The Lambert W function goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \quad (8)$$

then

$$z = W(B), \quad (9)$$

where there are domain constraints on B that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert W function is the following: If

$$y \ln y = B, \quad (10)$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \quad (11)$$

The following is the 'Lambert W function base s '¹, or W_s , where s is a positive real number. Let's begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \quad (12)$$

which looks very similar to (8). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \quad (13)$$

But when $s = e$, we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W(A), \quad (14)$$

¹This notation I invented myself.

which is the usual Lambert W function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting $s^x = e^y$ and proceeding from there.)

If s is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the n -series, as such $W_{(s)}$.

One last result we might need is

$$\gamma = W_n(\gamma)e^{W_n(\gamma)}. \tag{15}$$