

Math Diversion Problem 878

P. Reany

November 2, 2025

I love it when a plan comes together.

— Hannibal Smith, *The A-Team*

Source: The Ether of Great Mathematical Ideas

Title: A Lambert Function problem.

Presenter: Patrick

1 Problem

Given the relation

$$\phi = \sqrt{3}^{\sqrt{3}^{\sqrt{3}^{\sqrt{3}^{\dots}}}}, \quad (1)$$

find the value of ϕ in some finite form.

2 Solution

Noting that ϕ has a self-referential subpart, we can write

$$\phi = \sqrt{3}^{\phi}. \quad (2)$$

And now we have something that looks like a job for the Lambert W function.¹

After a little algebra, we can write

$$\phi \sqrt{3}^{-\phi} = 1. \quad (3)$$

After a bit more algebra, we have that

$$-\phi \sqrt{3}^{-\phi} = -1. \quad (4)$$

Now we're in a position to apply the Lambert W function across this last equation, to get

$$-\phi = W_{\sqrt{3}}(-1) = \frac{W_n(-1 \cdot \ln \sqrt{3})}{\ln \sqrt{3}}, \quad (5)$$

¹See the Appendix.

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally,

$$\phi = -2 \frac{W_n(-\frac{1}{2} \ln 3)}{\ln 3}, \quad (6)$$

which WolframAlpha also gets.

3 Appendix: Lambert W Function

Sometimes I need to use the Lambert W function, which goes as follows: If

$$ze^z = B, \quad (7)$$

then

$$z = W(B), \quad (8)$$

where there are domain constraints on B that we won't go into here. Warning: This can be a complicated (multi-valued) function to deal with.

A lemma I'll need from the theory of the Lambert W function is the following:

If

$$y \ln y = B, \quad (9)$$

then

$$\ln y = W(y \ln y) = W(B). \quad (10)$$

The following is the 'Lambert W function base s^2 ', or W_s , where s is a positive real number. Let's begin with the relation

$$xs^x = A, \quad (11)$$

which looks very similar to (7). Then

$$x = W_s(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \quad (12)$$

If s is an integer, so as not to confuse s with the integer subscripts n , I usually write

$$x = W_{(s)}(xs^x) \equiv \frac{W_n(A \ln s)}{\ln s}. \quad (13)$$

But when $s = e$, we have that

$$x = W_e(xe^x) = \frac{W_n(A \ln e)}{\ln e} = W_n(A), \quad (14)$$

which is the usual Lambert W function. (By the way, the proof to this lemma is not hard. It begins with setting $s^x = e^y$ and proceeding from there.)

²This notation I invented myself.

If s is an integer, I may resort to putting parentheses around it to distinguish it from the n -series, as such $W_{(s)}$.

One last result we might need is

$$\gamma = W_n(\gamma)e^{W_n(\gamma)}. \tag{15}$$