

# Math Diversion Problem 220

P. Reany

December 7, 2024

Don't ever take a fence down until you know the reason it was put up.

— Chesterton

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dDPelu7GCLs>

Title: Exponential Equation Mathematics Problem

Presenter: New Track Mathematics

## 1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$y^{y^4} = 64, \tag{1}$$

find the values of  $y$ .

(Skip down to the solution, if you like.)

## 2 Basics of Complex Numbers

Typically, we find a generic complex number denoted by the letter  $z$ , but one is free to choose other letters, as well. So, if  $z$  is a complex number, in general it has both real and imaginary parts:

$$z = a + bi, \tag{2}$$

where  $a, b$  are real components of basis vectors  $1, i$ . But they are also expressed as, respectively, the 'real' and 'imaginary' components of  $z$ .

Complex conjugation of complex number  $z$  is an operation that leaves real numbers alone but replaces the unit imaginary  $i$  with its negative, i.e.,  $-i$ . The symbols most often used to represent complex conjugation are the  $*$  and the overbar. I'll usually use the overbar. Thus, the complex conjugate of  $z$  in (2) is

$$\bar{z} = a - bi. \tag{3}$$

Obviously, the complex conjugation of a pure real number has no effect.

A funny thing happens when we multiply a complex number by its conjugate:

$$z\bar{z} = (a + bi)(a - bi) = a^2 + b^2. \quad (4)$$

So,  $z\bar{z}$  is zero if and only if  $z = 0$ , otherwise, it's a positive real number.

Another funny thing happens when we add a complex number and its conjugate: we also get a real number. Let's see.

$$z + \bar{z} = (a + bi) + (a - bi) = 2a. \quad (5)$$

Why do we care about this? Because sometimes we need to map complex numbers into the real numbers to get information on the complex numbers. This problem will show you that.

I'm not going to prove this here, but every complex number can be expressed in exponential (or polar) form:

$$z = a + bi = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}e^{i\theta} = (z\bar{z})^{1/2}e^{i\theta} = re^{i\theta}, \quad (6)$$

where we can think of  $r$  as the length of the complex numbers  $z$  or  $\bar{z}$ .

$$r \equiv (z\bar{z})^{1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad r^2 = z\bar{z} = |z|^2. \quad (7)$$

So, it will be good to know all this stuff in this section before you attempt to follow my solutions to these complex variables problems.

By the way, the complex numbers are what's called a *field*, so they can be added, subtracted, multiplied, and divided by each other (except you can't divide by zero, as usual). And, therefore, you can apply the quadratic formula to them! (Yay!)

**Lemma 1:** If a complex number  $z$  is equal to its own conjugate  $z = \bar{z}$ , it's real.

**Lemma 2:** If a complex number  $z$  is complex conjugated twice then there's no change:  $\bar{\bar{z}} = z$ .

**Lemma 3:** The complex conjugated of a product or a sum is the product or sum of the complex conjugates:  $\overline{z_1 z_2} = \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2$  and  $\overline{z_1 + z_2} = \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2$ .

**Lemma 4:** If  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z = s + ti$  then

$$i\bar{z} = t + si. \quad (8)$$

### 3 Basics of Complex Numbers with Trig Functions

Let's begin with the Euler relations:

$$\cos \theta + i \sin \theta = e^{i\theta}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\cos \theta - i \sin \theta = e^{-i\theta}, \quad (9b)$$

Next, let's invert them:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}), \quad (10a)$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{1}{2i}(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}), \quad (10b)$$

where, in the above cases, I used the usually understood real variable  $\theta$ , but that can be replaced by the complex variable  $z$ . In fact, soon we will do so.

Okay, how to represent  $\tan z$  by exponentials?

$$\tan z = \frac{\sin z}{\cos z} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}. \quad (11)$$

## 4 The Solution

I'd like to make my standard variable substitution to base 2:<sup>1</sup>

$$y = 2^\alpha. \quad (12)$$

With this, (1) becomes

$$(2^\alpha)^{(2^\alpha)^4} = 64 = 2^6, \quad (13)$$

which becomes

$$2^{\alpha 2^{4\alpha}} = 2^6. \quad (14)$$

On equating exponents, we get

$$\alpha 2^{4\alpha} = 6 = 2 \cdot 3. \quad (15)$$

And this simplifies down to

$$\alpha 2^{4\alpha-1} = 3. \quad (16)$$

Now, I'm going to make the assumption of integer factors on the LHS, but allow  $\alpha$  to have rational values. So, the RHS has a factor of 3 but not of two. This gives us two constraints on the LHS: We must have one factor of 3, but no factors of 2 (at full simplification, that is!). So, we go to cases.

Case 1:  $4\alpha - 1 = 0$  implies  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ , but this won't work.

Case 2:  $4\alpha - 1 = 1$  implies  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , but this won't work either.

Case 3:  $4\alpha - 1 = 2$  implies  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ , and this will work!

Proof:

$$\frac{3}{4} 2^{(4 \cdot \frac{3}{4} - 1)} = \frac{3}{4} 2^2 = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 4 = 3. \quad (17)$$

And so finally,

$$y = 2^{3/4}. \quad (18)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Which base to convert to depends on the particular problem at hand, of course. It's not always going to be base 2.