

# Olympiad Problem 9

P. Reany

January 27, 2025

## Abstract

Here we use the unipodal algebra to assist in solving the problem, which is given to us on YouTube. Although I'm referring to the series under the name 'olympiad', the problems are from diverse sources as olympiads, entrance exams, SATs, and the like.

First things first...But not necessarily in that order.

— Doctor Who

The YouTube video is found at:

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vhbLBruwDj0>  
Titled: A Nice math Olympiad Problem --  
You should know this trick  
Presenter: Learncommunolizer

## 1 The Problem

Given the relation

$$\sqrt[3]{x+49} + \sqrt[3]{x-49} = 2, \quad (1)$$

solve for  $x$  over the complex numbers.

## 2 The Prerequisites: The unipodal algebra

This algebra is formed as the extension of the complex numbers by the number  $u$ , where  $u^2 = 1$ , and  $u$  commutes with the complex numbers. The number  $u$  is said to be 'unipotent'. The set of numbers constructed this way are the unipodal numbers, a particular such number is called a unipode. The main conjugation operator on unipode  $a$  is the unegation operator, written  $a^-$ . It does not affect complex numbers, but it sends every  $u$  to its negative. Hence, if  $a = x + yu$ , where  $x, y$  are complex numbers, then  $a^- = x - yu$ . Unegation distributes over addition and multiplication.

The following are some properties that will come in handy:

$$u^2 = 1, \quad (2a)$$

$$u_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm u), \quad (2b)$$

$$u_{\pm}^2 = u_{\pm}, \quad (2c)$$

$$u = u_+ - u_-, \quad (2d)$$

$$u_+ u_- = 0, \quad (2e)$$

$$u_+ + u_- = 1, \quad (2f)$$

$$u u_+ = u_+, \quad (2g)$$

$$u u_- = -u_-, \quad (2h)$$

$$(u_{\pm})^{-} = u_{\mp}. \quad (2i)$$

You should prove (2c) – (2i). By the way, these two special unipodes  $u_{\pm}$  square to themselves. Such numbers in a ring are referred to as *idempotents*. In the unipodal numbers they have no inverses. The fact that the unipodal number system is not a field is of little concern to me. In fact, most unipodes have inverses, so long as they are not multiples of one of the idempotents. If one needs field elements, the scalars of the unipodal numbers comprise the field of complex numbers.

Two often-used results are, for complex numbers  $w, z$  (which are used to convert unipodes between the bases  $\{1, u\}$  and  $\{u_+, u_-\}$ ):

$$w + zu = (w + z)u_+ + (w - z)u_-, \quad (3a)$$

$$wu_+ + zu_- = \frac{1}{2}(w + z) + \frac{1}{2}(w - z)u. \quad (3b)$$

The unipodal algebra has two copies of the complex numbers, one for each component. In any true unipodal equation, the corresponding coefficients across the equal sign are equal to each other. This is similar to equating real and imaginary components across the equal sign in the complex algebra.

When I first used the unipodal algebra to solve polynomial equations (c. 1984-5), I used the Clifford 1 algebra over the complex numbers. The ‘1’ means one unit vector  $u$ . So, a Clifford 1 number  $c$  can be represented as

$$c = a + bu, \quad (4)$$

where  $a, b$  are complex numbers. Of course,  $u$  being a unit vector, then

$$u^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

Now, the standard basis for this space is  $\{1, u\}$  and the scalars are the complex numbers. To extract the ‘scalar part’ of (4), we use the selection operator  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ , as follows:

$$\langle c \rangle = \langle a + bu \rangle = a, \quad (6)$$

One can also subscript the selector with a zero for the scalar part:

$$\langle c \rangle_0 = \langle a + bu \rangle_0 = a, \quad (7)$$

and with a ‘1’ for the vector part:

$$\langle c \rangle_1 = \langle a + bu \rangle_1 = bu. \quad (8)$$

When I adopted the name ‘unipodal algebra’ from a paper I cowrote with two other authors, I found a need to adopt new terminology for naming the scalar and vector parts. Just as complex numbers are composed of a real number times the unit ‘1’ and another real number times the unit imaginary  $i$ , the unipodal numbers are composed of a complex number times the unit ‘1’ and another complex number times the unipotent number  $u$ . The part of the unipode that does not contain the unipotent factor is called the ‘complex part’ of the unipode. The part that does contain the unipotent element factor is called the **uniplex part** of the unipode.

Now, before you complain that calling the scalar part of a unipode the ‘complex part’ is nonsense, I point out that in complex analysis, the nonimaginary part is referred to as the ‘real part’. Lastly, when I say the ‘uniplex part’ in this series of papers, I refer only to the coefficient of the nonscalar part, which is complex only. Thus the uniplex part of unipode  $c = a + bu$  is just  $b$ . Another way to think of the uniplex part of  $c$  is to take the scalar (or complex) part of  $cu$ .

$$\langle c \rangle_1 = \langle cu \rangle = \langle au + b \rangle = b. \quad (9)$$

Thus, one must be careful when I report I’m taking the uniplex part of a unipode (across all the papers I’ve written over the years), because at times it may contain that factor of  $u$  and at other times not. But like I said: In this series it will always mean only the scalar factor of the unipotent element.

Much of the algebraic power of the unipodal algebra comes from 1) it being able to switch the presentation of a unipode between the standard basis and the idempotent basis, the latter basis being well suited for taking powers and roots.

### 3 The Solution

As is usual for this type of problem, I need to define an object complementary to (1), which shall be

$$\sqrt[3]{x + 49} - \sqrt[3]{x - 49} \equiv 2k, \quad (10)$$

where the factor of 2 is introduced to make later calculations easier.

Let’s choose our ‘first unipode’ be defined as follows:<sup>1</sup>

$$b \equiv \sqrt[3]{x + 49}u_+ + \sqrt[3]{x - 49}u_-. \quad (11)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>I define the term ‘first unipode’ as the point of entrance of the real or complex numbers into the unipodal algebra. There are often a variety of choices for this first unipode that could work, also usually one that stands out as superior. The fun is, finding it!

Now we'll flip the unipode's basis to the alternate:

$$b = \frac{1}{2}[\sqrt[3]{x+49} + \sqrt[3]{x-49}] + \frac{1}{2}[\sqrt[3]{x+49} - \sqrt[3]{x-49}]u. \quad (12)$$

However, according to (1) and (10), we get that

$$b = k + u. \quad (13)$$

Next, we add together (1) and (10) and simplify, to get

$$k + 1 = \sqrt[3]{x+49}, \quad (14)$$

which, on cubing both sides, gives

$$x + 49 = k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1. \quad (15)$$

Next, we cube (11), and then flip bases, to get

$$b^3 = x + 49u. \quad (16)$$

To best utilize this last equation with (15), we can either extract its scalar part or its uniplex part. I chose its scalar ('complex') part:<sup>2</sup>

$$\langle b^3 \rangle = \langle x + 49u \rangle = x. \quad (17)$$

Rearranging, we get

$$x = \langle b^3 \rangle = \langle (k + u)^3 \rangle = k^3 + 3k. \quad (18)$$

Great! Now, if we knew the value of  $k$ , we could substitute it into this equation to get  $x$ . From (15) and (18), we have that

$$x + 49 = (k^3 + 3k) + (3k^2 + 1) = x + (3k^2 + 1), \quad (19)$$

where we did some rearranging, and it yields

$$k^2 = 16. \quad (20)$$

Thus,  $k = \pm 4$  and, with a bit more calculation, we have that

$$x_{\pm} = \pm 76. \quad (21)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Later in this series, I gave the name 'pivoting' to this oft-useful device of taking either the scalar or unipotent component of a power of a unipode and setting that equal to its scalar or unipotent part, as was done in (17).

## 4 Conclusion

Let's take a moment to briefly take stock of the unipodal techniques we've used so far in this series that have been useful (and add in one or two that might be useful in the future):

- 1) Forming the 'first unipode' wisely.
- 2) Taking roots or powers, especially on unipodes in the idempotent basis.
- 3) 'Flipping' between bases.
- 4) Extracting the complex and/or uniplex parts across an equation.
- 5) Taking the 'magnitude square' of a unipode. For example, if  $X = x_0 + x_1u$ ,  $XX^- = x_0^2 - x_1^2$ , which is, of course, just a complex number. If two unipodes are equal, their square magnitudes are equal, and you are free to calculate their square magnitudes from either basis.
- 6) Comparing square magnitudes this way:  $X^n(X^-)^n = (XX^-)^n$ .
- 6) If  $A$  and  $B$  are equal unipodes in standard form, then  $\frac{a_0}{a_1} = \frac{b_0}{b_1}$ , but if they are in idempotent form, then  $\frac{a_+}{a_-} = \frac{b_+}{b_-}$ .

Furthermore, we can add to these tricks all the techniques of real and complex number and ring theory.